

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,313	12/17/2004	Yoji Yamashita	263385US3X PCT	6729
22850	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GIMIE, MAHMOUD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	., 220		3747	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r_{\prime}
~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/517,313	YAMASHITA, YOJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2004.						
	action is non-final.	•					
<i>,</i>	·—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
Certified copies of the priority documents							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Special Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/04</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/517,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Duplicate Claim Warning

2. Applicant is advised that should claim 3 be found allowable, claim 4 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,313

Art Unit: 3747

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaughan et al (6,647,971) in view of Lord et al (4,118,944).

Vaughan et al discloses an EGR cooler comprising tubes and a shell for enclosing said tubes (10), cooling water being supplied into and discharged from said shell, exhaust gas from a diesel engine being guided into said tubes (20) be heat exchanged with said cooling water.

Vaughan does not show that an inner periphery of each of the tubes formed with a spiral protrusion with an inclination angle in a range of 26°-50° to plane perpendicular to an axis of the tube.

Lord et al shows tube and shell heat exchanger with inner periphery of each of the tubes formed with a spiral protrusion with an inclination angle in a range of 26°-50° to plane perpendicular to an axis of the tube (col. 3, II. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Vaughan et al by replacing the tube and shell heat exchanger with another heat and shell heat exchanger with inner periphery of each of the tubes formed with a spiral protrusion with an inclination angle in a range of 26°-50° to plane perpendicular to an axis of the tube as disclosed by Lord et al. The motivation to do so would have been to improve efficiency of the heat exchanger, see column 3 and lines 6-7 of Lord et al.

Art Unit: 3747

With regard to claim 2, the inner periphery of each of the tubes is formed with plurality of streaks of spiral protrusions running without crossing and with phases peripherally shifted to each other.

With regard to claims 3 and 4, the height of the spiral protrusion to an inner periphery of the tube is 5-15% of an inner diameter of the tube. The prior art does not limit the height to a specific range. However, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art, In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show shell and tube heat exchangers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,313 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MG

MAHMOUD GIMIE